State of Kansas PUBLIC EMPLOYEE RELATIONS BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF CASE NO. 1 - PETITION OF SERVICE EMPLOYEES' UNION LOCAL NO. 513 FOR UNIT DETERMINATION FOR CERTAIN EMPLOYEES AT FT. HAYS KANSAS STATE COLLEGE

ORDER

The above matter originally came before Board for hearing on November 16, 1972.

Appearing on behalf of the Peditione

Mr. Harry Helser / Field Staff Representative Public Service Employees Union Local No. 513

Appearing on behalf of the Employer:

Mr. Walter Keeting, V. President, Administration

Ft. Hays Kansas State College

Mr. Lowell Long, State Personnel Director

Mr. Franklin Theis, Atty., Dept. of Administration

Mr. Max Bickford, Executive Officer State Board of Regents

Mr. Daniel Beatty, V. President, Business Affairs Kansas State University

Testimony and other evidence was presented by the parties and the hearing terminated with the Board taking the matters before it under consideration.

The Board considered guidelines in the Kansas Statutes and also considered the experience of other states such as New York and Hawaii which have developed statewide units numbering from 5 to 13 units. The rationale of this Board has more closely followed the state of Wisconsin wherein 5 basic state units were created with an additional unit at each state institution of higher education. The 5 basic state units of Wisconsin are as follows:

75-4CA-1-1973 Case no.1

- 1) an operational services unit
- 2) a security services unit
- 3) an institutional services unit
- 4) an administrative services unit
- 5) a professional, scientific, and technical services unit

The Board feels that this overall plan is more ideally suited for this state than any other plan; thus, the Board would allow public employees at each unit of higher education to organize on individual institutional units.

Each institution, the Board feels, is a separate distinct operating entity with a complex relationship already existing between the public employees and the administration therein. The principles of efficient administration will be maintained inasmuch as the evidence before this Board indicates that most problems concerning conditions of employment with university employees have been handled on a local basis; i.e. between the local university administration and the employee. Evidence before this Board also indicates that a distinct "community of interest" is enjoyed by employees at the individual institutions. Particularly, it was expressed that the workers with similar occupations at KU Medical Center and at Kansas University were working under different environments with different benefits in Contrasted with this, the employees at each institution had a common base in their working conditions and the evidence indicated a similarity of problems at each institution that is not evident between employees of separate institutions.

Some previous history of employee organization, specifically, KU Medical Center, was noted but not considered as having great weight. However, geographical location posed a possible serious problem, especially when considered with the concept of the separate identity of each institution as mentioned above. The difficulties of employees organizing over a great distance (i.e., the distance between Wichita and Ft. Hays) when considered in light of the fact that each institution has operated as a separate identity, made the unit determinations on an individual institutional basis seem most logical. The Board did not view the problems of overfragmentation and splintering of a work force as automatically requiring a statewide unit in every case, but that each case must be reviewed on its own merit, thus our decision of the individual institutional units.

The appropriate unit for each state university is deemed by the Board to consist mostly of maintenance and service employees.

Page 3 ORDER Case No. 1



The Board having fully considered all evidence presented at the aforementioned hearing, and all evidence available to this Board, finds that the appropriate unit for certain employees at Ft. Hays Kansas State College should be as follows:

INCLUDE: All housekeepers, custodians, maintenance and grounds employees.

EXCLUDE: All supervisors, clerical, security, engineers, firemen and all other employees of Ft. Hays Kansas State College

List of employees in appropriate unit:

Farmer I Maintenance Mason Farmer II Maintenance Painters -Farmer III Maintenance Electrician Florist Stationary Firemen Ref. & Air Cond. Mechanic Printer Automotive Mechanic I Dairy Food Processor Automotive Mechanic II Custodial Worker Maintenance Repairman Domestic Worker Electronics Tech. I Maintenance Carpenter Electronics Tech. II Maintenance Plumber Activity Therapy Aid II

IT IS SO ORDERED November 28, 1972

Carol J. Wampler, Secretary

Cristodial Sucervison I

STATE OF KANSAS



STATE CAPITOL BUILDING TOPEKA, KANSAS 66612

ROBERT B. DOCKING GOVERNOR

July 23, 1973

ELDON DANENHAUER, Chairman ALAN NEELLY MERLE STAATS NATHAN W. THATCHER ARTHUR J. VEACH

MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. W. E. Keating, Vice President Administration & Finance Ft. Hays Kansas State College

> Mr. Robert David, President Service Employees Union Local 513

Mr. Lowell Long, State Director Division of Personnel

FROM: Carol J. Wampler, Secretary

RE: Case No. 1 - Certification of certain employees at Ft. Hays Kansas State College

It has come to the Board's attention that the list of positions in the appropriate unit erroneously included Farmer III and omitted Custodial Supervisor I.

Please correct the list to read as follows:

List of employees in appropriate unit:

Farmer I
Farmer II
Florist
Ref. & Air Cond. Mechanic
Automotive Mechanic I
Automotive Mechanic II
Maintenance Repairman
Maintenance Carpenter
Maintenance Plumber
Maintenance Mason
Maintenance Painters

Maintenance Electrician
Stationary Firemen
Printer
Dairy Food Processor
Custodial Supervisor I
Custodial Worker
Domestic Worker
Electronics Tech. I
Electronics Tech. II
Activity Therapy Aid II

Case No. 1